

Example :

calculating confidence intervals :

The carbohydrate content of a glycoprotein (a protein with sugars attached to it) is determined to be 12.6, 11.9, 13.0, 12.7 and 12.5 g of carbohydrate per 100 g of protein in replicate analyses. Find the 50% and 90% confidence intervals for the carbohydrate content.

Soln

$$M = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{12.6 + 11.9 + 13.0 + 12.7 + 12.5}{5}$$

$$\text{mean } \bar{x} = 12.54$$

$$\text{Standard deviation } s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}} =$$

(16)

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{(12.6 - 12.5)^2 + (11.9 - 12.5)^2 + (13.0 - 12.5)^2 + (12.7 - 12.5)^2 + (12.5 - 12.5)^2}{(5-1)}}$$

$$S = 0.4$$

$$\therefore \mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

df  
(n-1) = 4  
∴  
t = 0.741  
from the  
table.

$$= 12.5_4 \pm \frac{(0.741)(0.4)}{\sqrt{5}} = 12.5_4 \pm 0.1_3$$

The 90% confidence interval is

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 12.5_4 \pm \frac{(2.132)(0.4_0)}{\sqrt{5}} = 12.5_4 \pm 0.3_0$$

The calculations mean that there is a 50% chance that the true mean,  $\mu$ , lies within the range  $12.5_4 \pm 0.1_3$  (12.4<sub>1</sub> to 12.6<sub>7</sub>).

There is a 90% Chance that  $\mu$  lies within the range  $12.54 \pm 0.38$  (12.16 to 12.92)

(4-10) List the three different cases that we studied for comparison of means, and write the equations used in each case.

Soln

Case 1: Comparing a measured result to a "known" value:

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x} - \text{known value}|}{s} \sqrt{n}$$

Case 2: Comparing replicate measurements.

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{s_{\text{pooled}}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$s_{\text{pooled}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\text{Set 1}} (x_i - \bar{x}_1)^2 + \sum_{\text{Set 2}} (x_i - \bar{x}_2)^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

(18)

$$= \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1-1) + s_2^2(n_2-1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

Here  $s_{\text{pooled}}$  is a pooled standard deviation making use of both sets of data.  $t_{\text{calculated}}$  from the ~~the~~ equation is compared with  $t$  in Table A-2 for  $n_1 + n_2 - 2$  degrees of freedom.

If  $t_{\text{calculated}}$  is greater than  $t_{\text{table}}$  at the 95% confidence level, the two results are considered to be different.

case 3: Comparing individual values:

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_d} \sqrt{n}$$

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{n-1}}$$



4-11 The percentage of an additive in gasoline was measured six times with the following results: 0.13, 0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.20, 0.11%. Find the 90% and 99% confidence intervals for the percentage of the additive.

Soln

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{0.13 + 0.12 + 0.16 + 0.17 + 0.20 + 0.11}{6}$$

$$= 0.148$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

Standard deviation

$$s = 0.034$$

(2)

90% confidence :

$$\mu = 0.148 \pm \frac{(2.015)(0.034)}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$= 0.148 \pm 0.028$$

$\therefore$  Degree of freedom  $(n-1)$   
 $= 6-1 = 5$

$\therefore$  from the t table for 90%  
 $5 \rightarrow 2.015$

99% confidence :

$$\mu = 0.148 \pm \frac{(4.032)(0.034)}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$= 0.148 \pm 0.056$$

$\therefore df = (n-1)$   
 $= 6-1 = 5$

From the t-table  
 for 99% confidence  
 $5 \rightarrow 4.032$

**A-12** Sample 8 of problem A-3 was analysed seven times, with  $\bar{x} = 1.52793$  and  $s = 0.00007$ . Find the 99% confidence interval for sample 8.

Soln:

$$C.I \quad \mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = 1.52793$$

$$s = 0.00007$$

$$n = 7$$

99% Confidence intervals.

$$\therefore \mu = 1.52793 \pm \frac{(3.707)(0.00007)}{\sqrt{7}}$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm \frac{2.595 \times 10^{-4}}{\sqrt{7}}$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm 0.0000980$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm 0.00010$$

$$[1.52783 \text{ to } 1.52803]$$

$\therefore$  degrees of freedom  $(n-1)$   
 $= 7-1 = 6$

$\therefore$  t values from the table @ 99% C

$$6 \Rightarrow 3.707$$



**4-17** If you measure Quantity four times and the standard deviation is 1.0% of the average, can you be 90% confident that the true value is within 1.2% of the measured average?

Soln

$$M = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \bar{x}$$

$$s = 1\%$$

$$n = 4$$

$$\therefore M = \bar{x} \pm \frac{(2.353)(1\%)}{\sqrt{4}}$$

$$= \bar{x} \pm 1.18\%$$

$$= \bar{x} \pm 1.18\% < 1.2\% \quad \text{The answer is yes.}$$

From the table  
t value for

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d.f} &= n-1 \\ &= 4-1 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  t value @ 90%  
Confidence for the  
d.f = 3

$$t = (2.353)$$

4.20. A standard reference material is certified to contain 94.6 ppm of an organic contaminant in soil. Your analysis gives values of 98.6, 98.4, 97.2, 94.6, and 96.2 ppm. Do your results differ from the expected result at the 95% confidence level? If you made one more measurement and found 94.5, would your conclusion change?

Soln

$$x = 98.6, 98.4, 97.2, 94.6 \text{ and } 96.2$$

$$n = 5$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} = \frac{98.6 + 98.4 + 97.2 + 94.6 + 96.2}{5}$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} = 97.0_0$$

$$\text{Standard deviation } s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

$$s = 1.65_5$$

(25)

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\text{known value} - \bar{x}|}{s} \sqrt{n}$$

$$= \frac{|94.6 - 97.0|}{1.66} \sqrt{5}$$

$$= \frac{2.4}{1.66} \times \sqrt{5}$$

$$= 3.23$$

For 4 degrees of freedom and 95% Confidence,

$$t_{\text{table}} = 2.776.$$

Here  $t_{\text{calculated}} (3.23) > t_{\text{table}} (2.776)$

$\therefore$  the Difference is significant.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{d.f.} &= \\ n-1 &= 5-1 \\ &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &t_{\text{value from the}} \\ &\text{table @ 95\%} \\ &= 2.776 \end{aligned}$$

(26)

with one more measurement of 94.5

$$x = 98.6, 98.4, 97.2, 94.6, 96.2, 94.5$$

$$n = 6$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} = \frac{98.6 + 98.4 + 97.2 + 94.6 + 96.2 + 94.5}{6}$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} = 96.58$$

$$s.d \Rightarrow s = 1.80$$

$$\therefore t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\text{Known value} - \bar{x}|}{s} \sqrt{n}$$

$$= \frac{|94.6 - 96.58|}{1.80} \sqrt{6}$$

$$= 2.69$$

For 5 degrees of freedom and 95% Confidence,

$$t_{\text{table}} = 2.571.$$

$$\text{Here } t_{\text{calculated}} (2.69) > t_{\text{table}} (2.571)$$

The difference is still significant.