

(21)

4-11. The Percentage of an additive in gasoline was measured six times with the following results: 0.13, 0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.20, 0.11%. Find the 90% and 99% Confidence intervals for the percentage of the additive.

Soln.

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{0.13 + 0.12 + 0.16 + 0.17 + 0.20 + 0.11}{6}$$

$$\bar{x} = 0.148$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

Standard deviation $s = 0.034$

90% confidence:

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{t s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= 0.14_g \pm \frac{(2.015)(0.034)}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$= 0.14_g \pm 0.02_g$$

∴ degree of freedom (n-1)

$$= 6 - 1 = 5$$

∴ from the t table for 90%

$$5 \rightarrow 2.015$$

99% confidence:

$$\mu = 0.14_g \pm \frac{(4.032)(0.034)}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$= 0.14_g \pm 0.05_b$$

$$\therefore df = (n-1)$$

$$= 6 - 1$$

$$= 5$$

From the t-table for 99% confidence

$$5 \rightarrow 4.032$$

(-12) Sample 8 of problem 4-3 was analysed seven times, with $\bar{x} = 1.52793$ and $S = 0.00007$. Find the 99% confidence interval for sample 8.

Solu.

$$C.I \quad \mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{tS}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = 1.52793$$

$$S = 0.00007$$

$$n = 7$$

99% Confidence intervals.

$$\mu = 1.52793 \pm \frac{(3.707)(0.00007)}{\sqrt{7}}$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm \frac{2.595 \times 10^{-4}}{\sqrt{7}}$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm 0.0000980$$

$$= 1.52793 \pm 0.00010$$

$$[1.52783, 1.52803]$$

\therefore degrees of freedom $(n-1)$

$$= 7-1 = 6.$$

\therefore t values from the table @ 99% C

$$6 \Rightarrow 3.707$$

(23a)

4-13.) A trainee in a medical lab will be released to work on her own when her results agree with those of an experienced worker at the 95% confidence level. Results for a blood urea nitrogen analysis are shown below.

Trainee: $\bar{x} = 14.5_7 \text{ mg/dL}$ $s = 0.5_3 \text{ mg/dL}$ $n = 6 \text{ samples}$

Experienced worker: $\bar{x} = 13.9_5 \text{ mg/dL}$ $s = 0.4_2 \text{ mg/dL}$ $n = 5 \text{ samples}$

- (a) What does the abbreviation dL stand for?
- (b) Should the trainee be released to work alone?

(236)

(a) $dL = \text{deciliter} = 0.1L = 100\text{mL}$.

(b)
$$F_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{(0.05_3)^2}{(0.4_2)^2}$$
$$= 1.59$$

$$1.59 < 6.26$$

$$F_{\text{calculated}} < F_{\text{Table}}$$

For 5 degree
of freedom in the
numerator and
4 degrees of freedom
in the denominator.

Since $F_{\text{calculated}} < F_{\text{Table}}$, we can use the
following equations:

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{S_{\text{Pooled}}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$S_{\text{Pooled}} = \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2 (n_1 - 1) + S_2^2 (n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

(23c)

$$S_{\text{pooled}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.53^2(5) + 0.42^2(4)}{6+2-2}}$$

$$= 0.484$$

$$t = \frac{|14.57 - 13.95|}{0.484} \sqrt{\frac{6 \times 5}{6+5}}$$

$$= 2.12.$$

$t_{\text{calculated}} 2.12 < t_{\text{table}} 2.262$ listed for

95% Confidence
and 9 degrees
of freedom.

The results agree and the trainee
should be released.

(24)

4-16) Two methods were used to measure fluorescence lifetime of a dye. Are the standard deviations significantly different? Are the mean significantly different?

Quantity	Method 1	Method 2.
Mean lifetime(ns)	1.382	1.346
Standard deviation(ns)	0.025	0.039
Number of measurements	4	4

Soln:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\text{calculated}} &= \frac{S_2^2}{S_1^2} \\
 &= \frac{(0.039)^2}{(0.025)^2} \\
 &= 2.43
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore S_1 &= 0.025 \\
 S_2 &= 0.039
 \end{aligned}$$

$F_{\text{table}} = 9.28$ for 3 degrees of freedom
in the numerator and denominator.

Since $F_{\text{calculated}} < F_{\text{Table}}$,

$$2.43 < 9.28,$$

the difference in standard deviation
is not significant.

We use the Equation

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{pooled}} &= \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2(n_1-1) + S_2^2(n_2-1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(0.025)^2(4-1) + (0.039)^2(4-1)}{4+4-2}} \\ &= 0.0328 \end{aligned}$$

(26)

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{s_{\text{pooled}}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$= \frac{|1.382 - 1.346|}{0.0328} \sqrt{\frac{4 \times 4}{4 + 4}}$$

$$= 1.55$$

$$t_{\text{table}} (4 + 4 - 2 = 6 \text{ degrees of freedom}) = 2.447$$

Since $t_{\text{calculated}} < t_{\text{Table}}$

$$1.55 < 2.447$$

The difference is not significant.

(4-18) If you measure quantity four times and the standard deviation is 1.0% of the average, can you be 90% confident that the true value is within 1.2% of the measured average?

Solu

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\bar{x} = \bar{x}$$

$$s = 1\%$$

$$n = 4$$

$$\therefore \mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{(2.353)(1\%)}{\sqrt{4}}$$

$$= \bar{x} \pm 1.18\%$$

$$= \bar{x} \pm 1.18\% < 1.2\%$$

\therefore The answer is yes.

From the table

t value for

$$d.f = n - 1$$

$$= 4 - 1$$

$$= 3$$

\therefore t value @ 90%

confidence for the

$$d.f = 3$$

$$t = (2.353)$$

4-20) hydrocarbons in the cab of an automobile were measured during trips on the new Jersey Turnpike and trips through the Lincoln Tunnel connecting New York and New Jersey.

The concentrations (\pm standard deviations) of m- and p-xylene were.

Turnpike $31.4 \pm 30.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (32 measurements)

Tunnel $52.9 \pm 29.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (32 measurements)

Do these results differ at the 95% confidence level? At the 99% confidence level?

Soln:

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{s_{\text{pooled}}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$s_{\text{pooled}} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

(29)

$$\bar{x}_1 = 31.4$$

$$s_1 = 30.0$$

$$n = 32$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 52.9$$

$$s_2 = 29.8$$

$$n = 32$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore s_{\text{pooled}} &= \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1-1) + s_2^2(n_2-1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(30.0)^2(32-1) + (29.8)^2(32-1)}{32+32-2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore s_{\text{pooled}} = 29.9$$

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2|}{s_{\text{pooled}}} \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

$$t_{\text{calculated}} = \frac{152.9 - 31.41}{29.9} \sqrt{\frac{32 \times 32}{32 + 32}}$$

$$= 2.88$$

Degrees of freedom $32 + 32 - 2 = 62$

ie D.F = 62

In the t_{table} this 62 is close to 60 degrees of freedom.

@ 95% Confidence level

$$t_{\text{calculated}} (2.88) > t_{\text{table}} (2.000)$$

@ 99% Confidence level

$$t_{\text{calculated}} (2.88) > t_{\text{table}} (2.660)$$

\therefore The difference is significant at the 95 and 99% levels.