CHAPTER 13: SUPPLEMENTARY SOLUTIONS EDTA TITRATIONS

S13-1.
$$\alpha_{Y4-} = \frac{10^{-0.0}10^{-1.5}...10^{-10.24}}{(10^{-6.62})^{6} + (10^{-6.62})^{5}10^{-0.0} + ... + 10^{-0.0}10^{-1.5}...10^{-10.24}} = 1.78 \times 10^{-4}$$

S13-2. (a) $K_{\rm f}' = \alpha_{\rm Y} 4 K_{\rm f} = 0.36 \times 10^{10.69} = 1.76 \times 10^{10}$

(b)
$$\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}_{x} + \operatorname{EDTA}_{x} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Ca}^{2-}_{0.050-x}$$

 $\frac{0.050-x}{x^2} = 1.7_6 \times 10^{10} \Rightarrow [\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}] = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$

S13-3.
$$\frac{[MgY^{2^{-}}]}{[Mg^{2^{+}}][Y^{4^{-}}]} \times \frac{[Na^{+}][Y^{4^{-}}]}{[NaY^{3^{-}}]} = \frac{K_{f}(\text{for } MgY^{2^{-}})}{K_{f}(\text{for } NaY^{3^{-}})} = 1.35 \times 10^{7}$$
$$[Mg^{2^{+}}] = \frac{[Na^{+}]}{1.35 \times 10^{7}} \left(\frac{[MgY^{2^{-}}]}{[NaY^{3^{-}}]}\right)$$
(1)

We know that $[Mg^{2+}] + [MgY^{2-}] = 0.0500 \text{ M}$ and $[Na^+] = [NaY^{3-}] = 0.100 \text{ M}$. It seems like a good approximation to say that $[Na^+] = 0.100 \text{ M}$ and $[MgY^{2-}] = 0.0500 \text{ M}$, since we expect almost all of the Na⁺ to be free and almost all of the Mg²⁺ to be bound to EDTA. If the ratio $[MgY^{2-}] / [NaY^{3-}]$ is called *R*, we can say that $[Mg^{2+}] \approx 0.0500/R$. (To see this, suppose R = 1000. Then 1/1 000 of the Mg²⁺ will not be bound to EDTA and $[Mg^{2+}] =$ (0.0500/1000.) In Equation 1 we can set $[Mg^{2+}] = 0.0500/R$ and $[MgY^{2-}]/[NaY^{3-}] = R$.

$$\frac{0.0500}{R} = \frac{[Na^+]}{1.35 \times 10^7} R$$
(2)

Putting the value $[Na^+] = 0.100$ into Equation 2 gives R = 2600. This large value of R confirms the approximations that $[MgY^{2^-}] \approx 0.0500$ M and $[NaY^{3^-}] \approx 0.100$ M.

S13-4. (a)
$$(V_e)(0.100 \text{ M}) = (100.0 \text{ mL})(0.0500 \text{ M}) \implies V_e = 50.0 \text{ mL}$$

(b) [EDTA] =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (0.0500 M) $\begin{pmatrix} 100 \\ 125 \end{pmatrix}$ = 0.0200 M
fraction original dilution
remaining concentration factor

(d)
$$K'_{\rm f} = (0.36)(10^{8.00}) = 3.6 \times 10^7$$

S13-5.

(e)
$$[MY^{n-4}] = (0.100 \text{ M}) \left(\frac{100}{150}\right) = 0.0667 \text{ M}$$

 $\frac{[MY^{n-4}]}{[M^{n+}][EDTA]} = \frac{0.0667 - x}{x^2} = 3.6 \times 10^7 \Rightarrow x = [M^{n+}] = 4.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$
(f) $[M^{n+}] = (0.100 \text{ M}) \left(\frac{5.0}{155.0}\right) = 3.23 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$
 $[MY^{n-4}] = (0.0500 \text{ M}) \left(\frac{100.0}{155.0}\right) = 3.23 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$
 $\frac{[MY^{n-4}]}{[M^{n+}][EDTA]} = \frac{(3.23 \times 10^{-2})}{(3.23 \times 10^{-3})[EDTA]} = 3.6 \times 10^7 \Rightarrow [EDTA] = 2.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}$
 $Fe^{2+} + EDTA \rightleftharpoons FeY^{2-} \alpha_Y 4 \cdot K_f = 4.8 \times 10^9$
 $V_e = (25.00) \left(\frac{0.02026 \text{ M}}{0.03855 \text{ M}}\right) = 13.14 \text{ mL}$
(a) $\frac{12.00 \text{ mL}}{12.00 \text{ mL}} : [EDTA] = \left(\frac{13.14 - 12.00}{13.14}\right) (0.02026 \text{ M}) \left(\frac{25.00}{37.00}\right) = 1.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$
 $[FeY^{2-}] = \left(\frac{12.00}{37.00}\right) (0.03855 \text{ M}) = 1.25 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$
 $[Fe^{2+}] = \frac{[FeY^{2-}]}{[EDTA]K_f^{-1}} = 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M} \Rightarrow pFe^{2+} = 8.66$
(b) \underline{V}_e : Formal concentration of FeY^{2-} is $\left(\frac{25.00}{38.14}\right) (0.02026 \text{ M}) = 1.33 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$
 $Fe^{2+} + EDTA \rightleftharpoons FeY^{2-} x = 1.33 \times 10^{-2} - x$

$$\frac{1.33 \times 10^{-2} x}{x^2} = \alpha_Y^4 - K_f \Rightarrow x = 1.66 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M} \Rightarrow \text{pFe}^{2+} = 5.78$$

(c) 14.00 mL: [Fe²⁺] = $\left(\frac{14.0 - 13.14}{39.00}\right)(0.03855 \text{ M}) = 8.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \Rightarrow \text{pFe}^{2+} = 3.07$

S13-6. (50.0 mL)(0.0111 M) = $(V_e)(0.0222 \text{ M}) \implies V_e = 25.0 \text{ mL}$

$$\underbrace{0 \text{ mL}}_{10.0 \text{ mL}} \quad pY^{3+} = -\log(0.011\ 1) = 1.95$$

$$\underbrace{10.0 \text{ mL}}_{10.0 \text{ mL}} \quad [Y^{3+}] = \left(\frac{25.0 - 10.0}{25.0}\right)(0.011\ 1\ M\)\left(\frac{50.0}{60.0}\right) = 0.005\ 55\ M\ \Rightarrow\ pY^{3+} = 2.26$$

$$\underbrace{20.0 \text{ mL}}_{25.0} \quad [Y^{3+}] = \left(\frac{25.0 - 20.0}{25.0}\right)(0.011\ 1\ M\)\left(\frac{50.0}{70.0}\right) = 0.001\ 59\ M\ \Rightarrow\ pY^{3+} = 2.80$$

$$\underbrace{24.0 \text{ mL}}_{25.0} \quad [Y^{3+}] = \left(\frac{25.0 - 24.0}{25.0}\right)(0.011\ 1\ M\)\left(\frac{50.0}{74.0}\right) = 3.00 \times 10^{-4}\ M \Rightarrow\ pY^{3+} = 3.52$$

$$\underbrace{24.9 \text{ mL}}_{25.0} \quad [Y^{3+}] = \left(\frac{25.0 - 24.9}{25.0}\right)(0.011\ 1\ M\)\left(\frac{50.0}{74.9}\right) = 2.96 \times 10^{-5}\ M\ \ pY^{3+} = 4.53$$

(a) At 0 mL, the total concentration of cadmium is $Cd^{2+} = 0.00100 \text{ M}$ and $[Cd^{2+}] = \alpha_{Cd^{2+}}C_{Cd^{2+}} = 8.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M} \implies pCd^{2+} = 7.07$

(b) At 1.00 mL,
$$C_{Cd^{2+}} = \left(\frac{4.00}{5.00}\right) (0.001\ 00\ M) \left(\frac{10.00}{11.00}\right) = 7.27 \times 10^{-4} M$$

fraction original dilution
remaining concentration factor

$$[Cd^{2+}] = \alpha_{Cd}^{2+} C_{Cd}^{2+} = 6.2 \times 10^{-8} M \implies pCd^{2+} = 7.21$$

(c) At 4.90 mL,
$$C_{Cd}^{2+} = \left(\frac{0.10}{5.00}\right) (0.001\ 00\ M\) \left(\frac{10.00}{14.90}\right) = 1.34 \times 10^{-5} M$$

 $[Cd^{2+}] = \alpha_{Cd}^{2+} C_{Cd}^{2+} = 1.1 \times 10^{-9} M \implies pCd^{2+} = 8.94$

(d) At the equivalence point, we can write

$$C_{Cd}^{2+} + EDTA \rightleftharpoons CdY^{2-}$$

$$x \qquad x \qquad \left(\frac{10.00}{15.00}\right)(0.001\,00) - x$$

$$\frac{0.000\,667 - x}{x^{2}} = 2.4 \times 10^{12} \implies x = C_{Cd}^{2+} = 1.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$$

$$[Cd^{2+}] = \alpha_{Cd}^{2+} C_{Cd}^{2+} = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ M} \implies pCd^{2+} = 11.85$$

(e) Past the equivalence point at 5.10 mL, we can say

$$[EDTA] = \left(\frac{0.10}{15.10}\right) (0.002\ 00\ M) = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}\ M$$

dilution original
factor concentration
(10.00)

$$[CdY^{2-}] = \left(\frac{10.00}{15.10}\right)(0.001\ 00\ M\) = 6.6 \times 10^{-4}\ M$$

$$K'_{\rm f} = \frac{[{\rm CdY}^{2-}]}{[{\rm Cd}^{2+}][{\rm EDTA}]} = \frac{(6.6 \times 10^{-4})}{[{\rm Cd}^{2+}](1.3 \times 10^{-5})}$$
$$\Rightarrow [{\rm Cd}^{2+}] = 1.8 \times 10^{-15} \,\text{M} \Rightarrow p{\rm Cd}^{2+} = 14.74$$

(f) At 6.00 mL,

$$[EDTA] = \left(\frac{1.00}{16.00}\right) (0.002 \ 00 \ M) = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \ M$$
$$[CdY^{2-}] = \left(\frac{10.00}{16.00}\right) (0.001 \ 00 \ M) = 6.2 \times 10^{-4} \ M$$
$$K'_{f} = \frac{[CdY^{2-}]}{[Cd^{2+}][EDTA]} \implies [Cd^{2+}] = 1.8 \times 10^{-16} \ M \implies pCd^{2+} = 15.73$$

S13-8. (a) Equations 1 and 2 can be represented as



For noncooperative binding, $\boxed{} = \boxed{} = \boxed{} = \boxed{} = \boxed{}$ at 50% saturation. Calling each concentration *C* gives $K_1 = \frac{(C+C)}{(C)[M]}$ and $K_2 = \frac{(C)}{(C+C)[M]}$, or $\frac{K_1}{K_2} = \frac{\frac{2C}{CM}}{\frac{C}{2CM}} = 4.$

S13-9. H₃In³⁻, yellow, red, violet \rightarrow red

S13-10. [Fe³⁺] =
$$\frac{\text{mmol EDTA} - \text{mmol Mg}^{2+}}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = \frac{0.367 - 0.109}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = 0.0103 \text{ M}$$

S13-11. Hardness = $3.2 \text{ mM} + 1.1 \text{ mM} = 4.3 \text{ mM} = 430 \text{ mg CaCO}_3/\text{L}$, since the formula mass of CaCO₃ is 100.09 g/mol.

\$13-12. Concentration of Ni²⁺ in the standard solution is $\left(\frac{39.3}{30.0}\right)(0.0130 \text{ M}) = 0.0170 \text{ M}.$ The quantity of Ni²⁺ in 25.0 mL is (25.0 mL)(0.0170 M) = 0.425 mmol. Excess Ni equals (10.1 mL) (0.0130 M) = 0.131 mmol. Therefore 0.425 - 0.131 = 0.294 mmol of Ni²⁺ reacted with CN⁻. Since each mole of Ni²⁺ reacts with 4 moles of CN⁻, there must have been 4(0.294) = 1.176 mmol of CN⁻ in 12.7 mL. [CN⁻] = 1.176 mmol/12.7 mL = 0.0926 M.

S13-13. Total EDTA used =
$$(39.98 \text{ mL})(0.045\ 00 \text{ M}) = 1.799\ 1 \text{ mmol}$$

- mmol of Mg²⁺ = $(10.26 \text{ mL})(0.020\ 65 \text{ M}) = 0.211\ 9 \text{ mmol}$
- mmol of Zn²⁺ = $(15.47 \text{ mL})(0.020\ 65 \text{ M}) = 0.319\ 5 \text{ mmol}$
mmol of Mn²⁺ = $1.267\ 7 \text{ mmol}$
mg of each metal : Mn²⁺ - 69.64 ; Mg²⁺ - 5.150 ; Zn²⁺ - 20.89

S13-14.
$$[Bi^{3+}] = \frac{\text{mmol EDTA in step 1}}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = \frac{0.1715 \text{ mmol}}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = 6.861 \text{ mM}$$

$$[Ti^{4+}] = \frac{\text{mmol } Zn^{2+} \text{ in step } 3}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = \frac{0.05385 \text{ mmol}}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = 2.153 \text{ mM}$$
$$[Al^{3+}] = \frac{\text{mmol } Zn^{2+} \text{ in step } 4}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = \frac{0.3307 \text{ mmol}}{25.00 \text{ mL}} = 13.23 \text{ mM}$$

Color change in steps 3 and 4: yellow \rightarrow red

S13-15. (no solution in manual)

\$13-16. Pyrocatechol violet: mean = 2.0295 standard deviation = 0.0204 Xylenol orange: mean = 2.0474 standard deviation = 0.0115 $s_{\text{pooled}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.0204^2(6-1) + 0.0115^2(5-1)}{6+5-2}} = 0.0170$ $t = \frac{2.0474 - 2.0295}{0.0170} \sqrt{\frac{6\cdot 5}{6+5}}$

= 1.74 < 2.262 (listed for 95% confidence and 9 degrees of freedom). The results are not significantly different.

S13-17. (a)
$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$$
. At $x = 0.1700, z = \frac{0.1700 - 0.1664}{0.0028} = 1.286$
At $x = 0.1750, z = \frac{0.1750 - 0.1664}{0.0028} = 3.071$.

Now we interpolate in a table of areas under a Gaussian curve.

From
$$z = 1.200$$
 to $z = 1.286$, the area is
area between $z = 1.200$
and $z = 1.286$
$$= \left(\frac{1.286 - 1.200}{1.300 - 1.200}\right)(0.4032 - 0.3849) = 0.0157$$
Fraction of
interval between
 $z = 1.2$ and $z = 1.3$

Area from mean (z = 0) to z = 1.286 is 0.3849 + 0.0157 = 0.4006Similarly, the area from the mean to z = 3.071 is 0.4989. Area from z = 1.286 to z = 3.071 is 0.4989 - 0.4006 = 0.09839.8% of the measurements are expected for the range 0.1700 to 0.1750 M.

(b) The area from the mean to 0.1750 M was found to be 0.4989 in the part (a). The area beyond 0.1750 M is 0.5000 - 0.4989 = 0.0011. That is, 0.11% of measurements are expected above 0.1750 M.

- (c) The warning line needs to be set at $\mu \pm 2\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ and the action line is set at $\mu \pm 3\sigma/\sqrt{n}$, where n = 4 is the number of replicate measurements made at each monitoring time. Using $\mu = 0.1664$ M and $\sigma = 0.0028$ M, the warning and action lines should be set at warning lines: $0.1664 \pm 2(0.0028)/\sqrt{4} = 0.1692$ and 0.1636 M action lines: $0.1664 \pm 3(0.0028)/\sqrt{4} = 0.1706$ and 0.1622 M
- S13-18. (a) When polymer is added to the waste, it binds selected cations:



The trick is to choose a polymer and the right conditions to bind the toxic metal ion that you want to remove. When the waste + polymer solution is passed through an ultrafiltration membrane, solvent and other solutes not attached to the polymer pass through the membrane. The small volume of solution that is retained by the membrane contains the toxic cation bound to polymer. To make the process efficient, it is necessary to release the polymer from the cation and to recycle the polymer.



CHAPTER 14: SUPPLEMENTARY SOLUTIONS FUNDAMENTALS OF ELECTROCHEMISTRY

(a) In the lead-acid battery, each mole of Pb(s) transfers 2 mol of e⁻ to each mol of PbO₂ to make PbSO₄. Therefore 642.6 g of reactants transfers 2 mol of e⁻.

$$\frac{\text{ampere-hour}}{\text{kilogram of reactants}} = \frac{(2 \text{ mol e})/(0.03731 \text{ mol e}/\text{A}\cdot\text{h})}{0.6426 \text{ kg}} = 83.42 \frac{\text{A}\cdot\text{h}}{\text{kg}}$$

(b) One mol of Zn transfers 2 mol of e⁻.

$$\frac{(2 \text{ mol e}^{-})/(0.03731 \text{ mol e}^{-}/\text{A}\cdot\text{h})}{0.34625 \text{ kg}} = 154.8 \frac{\text{A}\cdot\text{h}}{\text{kg}}$$

(c) One mol of Cd(OH)₂ receives 2 mol of e⁻.

$$\frac{(2 \text{ mol e}^{-})/(0.037 31 \text{ mol e}^{-}/\text{A}\cdot\text{h})}{0.331 84 \text{ kg}} = 161.5 \frac{\text{A}\cdot\text{h}}{\text{kg}}$$

(d Two mol of Al transfer 6 mol of e⁻.
$$\frac{(6 \text{ mol e}^-)/(0.03731 \text{ mol e}^-/\text{A}\cdot\text{h})}{0.372525 \text{ kg}} = 431.7 \frac{\text{A}\cdot\text{h}}{\text{kg}}$$
(e) One mol of O₂ receives 4 mol of e⁻.
$$\frac{(4 \text{ mol e}^-)/(0.03731 \text{ mol e}^-/\text{A}\cdot\text{h})}{0.036031 \text{ kg}} = 2975 \frac{\text{A}\cdot\text{h}}{\text{kg}}$$

S14-4. If we organize the half-reactions as in Table 14-1, Cr^{2+} is the product in the reaction with the most negative reduction potential. Therefore it is the strongest reducing agent. The half-reaction is $Cr^{3+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Cr^{2+}$ ($E^\circ = -0.42$ V).

S14-5. Become stronger :
$$Cl_2$$
, Al , H_2S , $S_2O_3^{2-}$ Unchanged : MnO_4^{2-}

- S14-6. (a) right half-cell: $Hg_2Cl_2(s) + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2Hg(l) + 2Cl^- E_+^\circ = 0.268 V$ left half-cell: $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2(g)$ right half-cell: $E_+ = \left\{ 0.268 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log [Cl^-]^2 \right\}$ $= \left\{ 0.268 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log [0.200]^2 \right\} = 0.309_4 V$ left half-cell: $E_- = \left\{ 0 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{P_{H_2}}{[H^+]^2} \right\}$ $= \left\{ -\frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{0.100}{[10^{-2.54}]^2} \right\} = -0.120_7 V$
 - (b) $E = E_+ E_- = 0.309_4 (-0.120_7) = 0.430$ V. Since the voltage is positive, electrons flow from the left-hand electrode to the right-hand electrode. Reduction occurs at the right-hand electrode.

S14-7. (a)
$$Pt(s) | Cr^{2+}(aq), Cr^{3+}(aq) || Tl^{+}(aq) | Tl(s)$$

(b) right half-cell: $Tl^{+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Tl(s)$ $E^{\circ}_{+} = -0.336 V$

left half-cell:
$$Cr^{3+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Cr^{2+} = -0.42 \text{ V}$$

right half-cell: $E_+ = \left\{ -0.336 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{1}{[\text{Tl}^+]} \right\} = -0.336 \text{ V}$
left half-cell: $E_- = \left\{ -0.42 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Cr}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cr}^{3+}]} \right\} = -0.42 \text{ V}$
 $E_- = E_+ - E_- = -0.336 - (-0.42) = 0.084 \text{ V}.$

- (c) Since the voltage is positive, electrons flow from Pt to Tl and the reaction is $Tl^+ + Cr^{2+} \rightleftharpoons Tl(s) + Cr^{3+}$
- (d) Pt is the anode, since Cr^{2+} is oxidized.

S14-8. Balanced reaction :
$$HNO_2 + e^- + H^+ \rightleftharpoons NO + H_2O$$

 $NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2$
 $NO_2^- \rightarrow HNO_2$
 $HNO_2^- \rightarrow NO$
 $MO_3^- \rightarrow NO$
 $FE_3^\circ = 3F(0.955) - F(0.773) - F(1.108)$
 $E_3^\circ = 0.984 V$

S14-9. (a)
$$Cu^{2+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Cu^{+}$$

 $\frac{Cu^{+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Cu(s)}{Cu(s) + Cu^{2+} \rightleftharpoons 2Cu^{+}}$
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -1FE^{\circ} = 34.4 \text{ kJ}$
(b) $2F_{2} + 4e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 4F^{-}$
 $E^{\circ} = -0.357 \text{ V}$
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -1FE^{\circ} = 34.4 \text{ kJ}$
(b) $2F_{2} + 4e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 4F^{-}$
 $E^{\circ} = 2.890 \text{ V}$
 $\frac{-F_{2}O + 2H^{+} + 4e^{-} \rightleftharpoons H_{2}O + 2F^{-}}{2F_{2} + H_{2}O} \rightleftharpoons F_{2}O + 2F^{-} + 2H^{+}}$
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -4FE^{\circ} = -279 \text{ kJ}$
(a) $2IO_{3}^{-} + I^{-} + 12H^{+} + 10e^{-} \rightleftharpoons I_{3}^{-} + 6H_{2}O$
 $\frac{-5I_{3}^{-} + 10e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 15I^{-}}{2IO_{3}^{-} + 16I^{+} + 12H^{+} \rightleftharpoons 6I_{3}^{-} + 6H_{2}O}$
(b) $\Delta G^{\circ} = -10FE^{\circ} = -651 \text{ kJ}.$
(c) $E = \{1.210 - \frac{0.05916}{10} \log \frac{[I_{3}^{-}]}{[IO_{3}^{-}]^{2}[I^{-}][H^{+}]^{12}} \} - \{0.535 - \frac{0.05916}{10} \log \frac{[I^{-}]I_{5}^{-}}{[I_{3}]^{5}}\} = 0.178 \text{ V}$
(c) $\Delta G = -10FE = -172 \text{ kJ}$

(e) At equilibrium,
$$E = 0 \Rightarrow E^{\circ} = \frac{0.05916}{10} \log \frac{|I_3|^6}{|IO_3|^2|\Gamma|^5|H^+|^{12}} \Rightarrow [H^+] = 3.1 \times 10^{-9}$$

 $\Rightarrow pH = 8.51$
S14-11. Mg(OH)₂(s) + 2e⁻ \Rightarrow Mg(s) + 2OH⁻
 $= \frac{Mg^{2+} + 2e^{-} \Rightarrow Mg(s)}{Mg(OH)_2(s) \Rightarrow Mg^{2+} + 2OH^+}$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -2.690 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -2.690 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -0.330 V$
 $K_{sp} = 10^{2(-0.330)/0.05916} = 7 \times 10^{-12}$
S14-12. Cl₂(aq) + 2e⁻ \Rightarrow 2Cl⁻
 $Cl_2(qq) \Rightarrow Cl_2(g)$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -1.360 V$
 $Cl_2(qq) \Rightarrow Cl_2(g)$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -6.036 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -6.036 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -6.036 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = -6.036 V$
S14-13. (a) $Ag(S_2O_3)^{5}_{2+} + e^{-} \Rightarrow Ag(s) + 2S_2O_3^{2-}$
 $H^+ + e^{-} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2(g)$
 $Ag(S_2O_3)^{5}_{2+} + \frac{1}{2}H_2(g) \Rightarrow Ag(s) + 2S_2O_3^{2-}$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = 0.017 V$
 $F = E^{\circ}_{s} - 0.05916 \log \frac{[S_2O_3^2]_2|M^+]}{[Ag(S_2O_3)^2_2|\sqrt{P_{H_2}}]}$
 $E = 0.017 - 0.05916 \log \frac{[S_2O_3^2]_2|M^+]}{[Ag(S_2O_3)^2_2|\sqrt{P_{H_2}}]}$
 $E = 0.017 - 0.05916 \log \frac{(0.050)^2(1)}{(0.010)\sqrt{1}} = 0.053 V$
(b) $Ag^{+} + e^{-} \Rightarrow Ag(s)$
 $-\frac{H^+ + e^{-} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2(g)}{Ag^{-}_{s} + \frac{1}{2}H_2(g)} \Rightarrow Ag(s) + H^+$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = 0.799 V$
 $-\frac{H^+ + e^{-} \Rightarrow Ag(s)}{Ag^{-}_{s} + \frac{1}{2}H_2(g)} \Rightarrow Ag(s) + H^+$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = 0.799 V$
 $C^{\circ}_{s} = 0.799 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{s} = 0.799 V$
 $E^{$

S14-14.
$$2Fe^{3+} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 2Fe^{2+}$$

 $-\frac{UO_{2}^{2+} + 4H^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons U^{4+} + 2H_{2}O}{U^{4+} + 2Fe^{3+} + 2H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons UO_{2}^{2+} + 2Fe^{2+} + 4H^{+}}$
 $1.018 = 0.498 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{(0.050)(0.025)^{2}[H^{+}]^{4}}{(0.050)(0.050)^{2}} \Longrightarrow [H^{+}] = 5.7 \times 10^{-5} M$
 $K_{a} = \frac{[H^{+}][HCO_{2}^{2}]}{[HCO_{2}H]} = \frac{5.7 \times 10^{-5}(0.30)}{(0.10)} = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$

S14-15. (a) 25.0 mL of 0.124 M Na₃PO₄ plus 25.0 mL of 0.248 M HClO₄ give 50.0 mL of 0.062 0 M $H_2PO_4^-$, the first intermediate form of the triprotic acid, H_3PO_4 .

$$[H^{+}] = \sqrt{\frac{K_1 K_2 (0.062 \ 0) + K_1 K_W}{K_1 + 0.062 \ 0}} = 2.01 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$$
$$[HPO_4^{2-}] = \frac{K_2 [H_2 PO_4^{-}]}{[H^{+}]} = \frac{(6.23 \times 10^{-8})(0.0620)}{(2.01 \times 10^{-5})} = 1.95 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$$

(b) In the left half-cell, $[Pb^{2+}(left)] = \frac{K_{sp} \text{ for PbHPO}_4}{[HPO_4^2]} = \frac{2.0 \times 10^{-10}}{1.95 \times 10^{-4}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$

The net cell reaction is Pb²⁺(left)
$$\rightleftharpoons$$
 Pb²⁺(right), for which $E^{\circ} = 0$ and
 $E = -\frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{[Pb^{2+}(left)]}{[Pb^{2+}(right)]}$
 $0.097 = -\frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-6}}{[Pb^{2+}(right)]} \Longrightarrow [Pb^{2+}(right)] = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$

The right half-cell contains exactly twice as many moles of F⁻ as Pb²⁺. Therefore PbF₂(*s*) precipitates and in the solution [F⁻] = 2[Pb²⁺]. $K_{sp} = [Pb^{2+}][F^-]^2 = (1.9 \times 10^{-3})(3.8 \times 10^{-3})^2 = 2.7 \times 10^{-8}$

S14-16.
$$3[Cu^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Cu(s)]$$

 $\frac{-Sb_2O_3(s) + 6H^+ + 6e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 2Sb(s) + 3H_2O}{3Cu^{2+} + 2Sb(s) + 3H_2O \rightleftharpoons 3Cu(s) + Sb_2O_3(s) + 6H^+}$
 $E^{\circ}_{+} = 0.339 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{-} = 0.147 V$
 $E^{\circ}_{-} = 0.147 V$

First find [H⁺] in left half-cell:

1

Addition of 3.50 mmol of NaOH creates 0.46 mmol of A³⁻ plus 1.06 mmol of HA²⁻

Inserting $K_a = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for HCO₂H and [H⁺] = 10^{-7.00} gives

$$E^{\circ \circ} = -0.114 + \frac{0.059\ 16}{2} \log \left\{ (10^{-7.00} + 1.8 \times 10^{-4})(10^{-7.00}) \right\} = -0.432 \text{ V}$$

S14-19. Calling anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonate A, the reaction may be written

$$A + 2H^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons H_{2}A.$$

$$E = 0.229 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{[H_{2}A]}{[A][H^{+}]^{2}}$$
But [H_{2}A] = [H^{+}]^{2} F_{H_{2}A} / ([H^{+}]^{2} + [H^{+}]K_{1} + K_{1}K_{2}) \text{ and } [A] = F_{A}.

Making these substitutions and rearranging the resulting Nernst equation gives

$$E = 0.229 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1}{[\mathrm{H}^+]^2 + [\mathrm{H}^+]K_1 + K_1K_2} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H_2A}}}{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}}$$
$$E^{\circ \circ} = -0.184 \,\mathrm{V}$$

S14-20. (a) Right:
$$\text{Hg}^+(C_R) + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Hg}$$
 E_+°
Left: $\text{Hg}^+(C_L) + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Hg}$ $E_-^\circ (= E_+^\circ \text{ because reactions are the same)}$
 $E_+ = E_+^\circ - 0.059 \, 16 \, \log(1/C_R);$ $E_- = E_-^\circ - 0.059 \, 16 \, \log(1/C_L)$
 $E = E_+ - E_- = E_+^\circ - E_-^\circ - 0.059 \, 16 \, \log(C_L/C_R) = -0.059 \, 16 \, \log(C_L/C_R)$

(b) Right:
$$\operatorname{Hg}_{2}^{2+}(C_{R}) + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Hg} \qquad E_{+}^{\circ}$$

Left: $\operatorname{Hg}_{2}^{2+}(C_{L}) + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{Hg} \qquad E_{-}^{\circ} (= E_{+}^{\circ})$
 $E_{+} = E_{+}^{\circ} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log(1/C_{R}); \qquad E_{-} = E_{-}^{\circ} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log(1/C_{L})$
 $E_{-} = E_{+} - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log(C_{L}/C_{R})$

(c) If Hg(I) is monatomic, the slope of the graph should be -59.16 mV. If Hg(I) is diatomic, the slope should be -29.58 mV.

-



- (e) The graph above clearly shows that Hg(I) is diatomic.
- (f) Solution B keeps the ionic strength constant. In cell 1, the ionic strength from Hg₂(NO₃)₂ is 0.15 M and the ionic strength from HNO₃ is 0.16 M, giving a total ionic strength of 0.31 M. In cell 2, the ionic strength from solution A is 0.031 M and the ionic strength from solution B is 0.28 M, giving a total ionic strength of 0.31 M. The ionic strength is 0.31 M in all cells.
- (g) If we do a chemical analysis for mercury and one for nitrate, we could establish that the mole ratio of Hg to nitrate is 1:1. This would establish that the oxidation state of mercury is +1, not +2.

CHAPTER 15: SUPPLEMENTARY SOLUTIONS ELECTRODES AND POTENTIOMETRY

(b) NH₃:
$$V_e = 9.8 \text{ mL} \Rightarrow \text{NH}_3/\text{Ag}^+ = 1.99 \Rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3 + \text{Ag}^+ \rightleftharpoons (\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Ag}^+$$

H₂NCH₂CH₂NH₂: $V_e = 5.0 \text{ mL} \Rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2/\text{Ag}^+ = 1.15$
 $\Rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2 + \text{Ag}^+ \rightleftharpoons (\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2)\text{Ag}^+$

(c) At the equivalence point, E = -0.15 V and $[Ag^+(left)] = 0.100$ M.

$$-0.15 = -0.059\,16\log\frac{0.100}{[Ag^+(right)]} \implies [Ag^+(right)] \approx 0.03 \text{ M}$$

S15-5. right half-reaction : $Zn^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Zn(s)$ $E^{\circ} = -0.762 V$ $E = \left\{ -0.762 - \frac{0.05916}{2} \log \frac{1}{[Zn^{2+}]} \right\} - 0.241$ MgY²⁻ : $K_f = 6.2 \times 10^8$; ZnY^{2-} : $K_f = 3.2 \times 10^{16}$. When 10 mL of EDTA has been added, $[Mg^{2+}] = \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)(0.100)\left(\frac{50}{60}\right)$ $= 0.0667 M \text{ and } [MgY^{2-}] = \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)(0.100)\left(\frac{50}{60}\right) = 0.0167 M.$ But $\frac{[MgY^{2-}]}{[Mg^{2+}][Y^{4-}]} = 6.2 \times 10^8 \Rightarrow [Y^{4-}] = 4.04 \times 10^{-10} M.$ The concentration of $[ZnY^{2-}]$ is $(50/60)(1.00 \times 10^{-5}) = 8.33 \times 10^{-6} M.$ Because $\frac{[ZnY^{2-}]}{[Zn^{2+}][Y^{4-}]} = 3.2 \times 10^{16}$ and we know $[Y^{4-}]$ and $[ZnY^{2-}]$,

we can compute that $[Zn^{2+}] = 6.44 \times 10^{-13} \text{ M}.$

$$E = \left\{-0.762 - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\frac{1}{6.44 \times 10^{-13}}\right\} - 0.241 = -1.364 \text{ V}$$

S15-6. Relative mobilities:

$$H^{+} \rightarrow 36.30 \qquad Cl^{-} \rightarrow 7.91$$

4.01 \leftarrow Li
$$8.13 \leftarrow Br^{-}$$

Anion diffusion from each side is nearly equal, while H⁺ diffuses much faster than Li⁺. Positive charge builds on the right, so the <u>left</u> side will be negative.

\$15-7. Velocity = mobility × field =
$$(20.50 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/(\text{s} \cdot \text{V})) \times (1000 \text{ V/m})$$

= $2.05 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for OH⁻ and $(5.70 \times 10^{-8})(1000) = 5.70 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for F⁻.
To cover 0.0100 m will require $(0.0100 \text{ m})/(2.05 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1}) = 48.8 \text{ s}$ for OH⁻ and $(0.0100)/(5.70 \times 10^{-5}) = 175 \text{ s}$ for NO₃⁻.

S15-8. (a)
$$E = \text{constant} + \beta \frac{0.05916}{3} \log \mathcal{A}_{\text{La}3^+}$$
 (outside).

(b) If the activity increases by a factor of 10, the potential will increase by 0.059 16/3 = 19.7 mV.

(c)
$$E_1 = \text{constant} + (1.00) \frac{0.05916}{3} \log (2.36 \times 10^{-4})$$

 $E_2 = \text{constant} + (1.00) \frac{0.05916}{3} \log (4.44 \times 10^{-3})$
 $\Delta E = E_2 - E_1 = \frac{0.05916}{3} \log \frac{4.44 \times 10^{-3}}{2.36 \times 10^{-4}} = +25.1 \text{ mV}$
(d) $E = \text{constant} + \frac{0.05916}{3} \log \left\{ [\text{La}^{3+}] + \frac{1}{1200} [\text{Fe}^{3+}]^{1/1} \right\}$
 $0.100 = \text{constant} + \frac{0.05916}{3} \log (1.00 \times 10^{-4}) \Rightarrow \text{constant} = 0.1789 \text{ mV}$
 $E = 0.1789 + \frac{0.05916}{3} \log \left\{ (1.00 \times 10^{-4}) + \frac{1}{1200} (0.010) \right\} = +100.7 \text{ mV}$

S15-9.
$$\frac{[ML]}{[M][L]} = 3.6 \times 10^{10} = \frac{0.050}{[M](0.50)} \Rightarrow [M] = 2.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ M}$$

\$15-10. For the pure
$$[Ca^{2+}]$$
 solution we can write
 $E = constant + \frac{(0.970)(0.05916)}{2} \log (1.00 \times 10^{-3})$
Putting in $E = 0.3000$ V gives constant $= 0.3861$ V. For the solution containing the
interfering ions we can say that $E = 0.3861 + \frac{(0.970)(0.05916)}{2} \log \{(1.00 \times 10^{-3}) + (0.040)(1.00 \times 10^{-3}) + (0.021)(1.00 \times 10^{-3}) + (0.081)(5.00 \times 10^{-4})\} = 0.3012$ V
At equal concentrations, Zn^{2+} interferes the most.
\$15-11. 0.1946 = constant + $\frac{0.0571}{2} \log \{[M^{2+}]_0 + 0.0200 (0.0200)\}$
After making the standard addition, the concentration of M²⁺ is

$$\frac{100.0 \ [M^{2+}]_{0} + 1.00 \ (0.030 \ 7)}{101.0} = (0.990_{1} \ [M^{2+}]_{0} + 3.04_{0} \times 10^{-4}) M$$

$$0.200 \ 7 = \text{constant} + \frac{0.057 \ 1}{2} \ \log \left\{ (0.990_{1} \ [M^{2+}]_{0} + 3.04_{0} \times 10^{-4}) + 0.020 \ (0.020 \ 0) \right\}$$

$$= \text{constant} + \frac{0.0571}{2} \ \log \left\{ 0.990_{1} \ [M^{2+}]_{0} + 7.04_{0} \times 10^{-4} \right\}$$

$$\Delta E = (0.200 \ 7 - 0.194 \ 6) = \frac{0.0571}{2} \ \log \frac{(0.990_{1} \ [M^{2+}]_{0} + 7.04_{0} \times 10^{-4})}{[M^{2+}]_{0} + 4.00 \times 10^{-4}}$$

$$\Rightarrow [M^{2+}]_{0} = 7.7 \times 10^{-5} M$$

S15-12. For Mg(EDTA)²⁻, $K_f = 6.2 \times 10^8$. This solution contains 30% more EDTA than Mg²⁺. Therefore, [EDTA]/[Mg(EDTA)²⁻] = 0.30 $\frac{[Mg(EDTA)^{2-}]}{[Mg^{2+}][EDTA]} = \alpha_Y 4$ - $K_f = 0.36 K_f$ $[Mg^{2+}] = \frac{[Mg(EDTA)^{2-}]}{[EDTA]\alpha_Y 4$ - $K_f} = \frac{1}{(0.30)(0.36)K_f} = 1.49 \times 10^{-8} M$ For Mn(EDTA)²⁻, $K_f = 7.4 \times 10^{13}$ and $[Mn^{2+}] = 1.25 \times 10^{-13} M$

S15-13.	$pH = -\log \mathcal{A}_{H^+} = -\log [H^+] \gamma_{H^+} \Rightarrow \gamma_{H^+} = 10^{-pH} / [H^+]$				At μ 0, we know $\gamma_{\rm H^+} = 1$		
	μ (M)	pН	$\gamma_{\rm H^+}$	μ (M)	pН	γ_{H^+}	
	0.10	2.13	0.74	1.60	1.96	1.10	
	0.30	2.16	0.69	1.80	1.92	1.20	
	0.50	2.16	0.69	2.00	1.86	1.38	
	1.00	2.09	0.81	2.40	1.76	1.74	
	1.20	2.05	0.89	2.50	1.73	1.86	
	1.50	1.99	1.02	3.00	1.59	2.57	



S15-14. The unknown contains x moles of ClO_4^- in 0.0500 L.

The standard addition adds $(0.001\ 00\ L)(0.050\ 0\ M) = 5.00 \times 10^{-5}\ mol\ of\ ClO_4^-$.

Therefore, the second solution contains $x + (5.00 \times 10^{-5})$ mol in 0.0510 L.

We can write a Nernst equation for the first solution:

 $E_1 = \text{constant} - 0.059 \, 16 \, \log[\text{ClO}_4^-]_1$

and another for the second solution:

 $E_2 = \text{constant} - 0.05916 \log[\text{ClO}_4^-]_2$

We set $E_1 = 0.3587$ V, $E_2 = 0.3461$ V, $[ClO_4^-]_1 = x/0.0500$, and $[ClO_4^-]_2 = (x + 5.00 \times 10^{-5})/0.0510$.

Now we solve for *x* by subtracting one equation from the other:

$$0.3587 = \text{constant} - 0.05916 \log[x/0.0500]$$

$$- 0.3461 = \text{constant} - 0.05916 \log[(x + 5.00 \times 10^{-5})/0.0510]$$

$$0.0126 = -0.05916 \log\left(\frac{x/0.0500}{(x + 5.00 \times 10^{-5})/0.0510}\right)$$

$$\frac{x/0.0500}{(x + 5.00 \times 10^{-5})/0.0510} = 0.612 \implies x = 7.51 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}$$

The original perchlorate concentration was therefore $\frac{7.51 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}}{0.0500 \text{ L}} = 1.50 \text{ mM}$

S15-15. (a) For the original solution, we can write

$$[Ag^+] = \frac{[Ag(CN)_2^-]}{[CN^-]^2 (7.1 \times 10^{19})} = \frac{[(1.00 \times 10^{-5})}{(8.0 \times 10^{-6})^2 (7.1 \times 10^{19})} = 2.2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ M}$$

$$E = \text{constant} + 0.059 \, 16 \, \log(2.2 \times 10^{-15}) = 206.3 \text{ mV} \implies \text{constant} = 1.073 \text{ 4 V}$$

After addition of CN⁻, we can say that

$$[Ag^+] = \frac{(1.00 \times 10^{-5})}{(12.0 \times 10^{-6})^2 (7.1 \times 10^{19})} = 9.8 \times 10^{-216} \text{ M}$$

$$E = 1.073 \, 4 + 0.059 \, 16 \, \log(9.9 \times 10^{-16}) = 185.4 \, \text{mV}$$

- (b) Let there be x mol of CN⁻ in 50.0 mL of unknown. After the standard addition, the unknown contains $x + (1.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ L})(2.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}) = (x + 2.50 \times 10^{-7}) \text{ mol CN}^{-1}$
- **S15-16.** (a) 8.22 ppm = 8.22×10^{-6} g of Cl⁻ per g of solution = 8.22×10^{-3} g of Cl⁻ per liter of solution = 2.32×10^{-4} M.
 - (b) For a Nernstian electrode we can write $E = \text{constant} 0.05916 \log [\text{Cl}^-]$ For the first solution, $0.2280 = \text{constant} - 0.05916 \log (2.32 \times 10^{-4})$ $\Rightarrow \text{constant} = 0.0130 \text{ V}.$

10.0 mL of 100.0 ppm standard contains 2.82×10^{-5} moles of Cl⁻. The original 100.0 mL of solution contains 2.32×10^{-5} moles of Cl⁻. Therefore, the new solution has

$$[CI^-] = \frac{(2.32 + 2.82) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}}{0.110 \text{ L}} = 4.67 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$$

$$E = 0.0130 - 0.05916 \log (4.67 \times 10^{-4}) = 210.0 \text{ mV}$$

(c) A 2 mV change for Cl⁻ corresponds to a 1 mV change for Ca^{2+} . We could use the table:

ΔE :	0	0.5	1.0	1.5
Q:	1.00	0.696	0.529	0.423

S15-17. (a) Let C_0 = initial concentration of unknown in 100 mL and

 S_0 = initial concentration of standard in 10 mL.

Concentration of analyte in diluted sample = $\frac{100}{110}$ C₀ + $\frac{10}{110}$ S₀ = $\frac{10C_0 + S_0}{11}$

Before standard addition : $E_1 = \text{constant} + 0.059 \, 16 \log C_0$

After standard addition : $E_2 = \text{constant} + 0.05916 \log \frac{10 \text{ C}_0 + \text{S}_0}{11}$

$$\Delta E = 0.001 \text{ V} = E_2 - E_1 = 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{10 \, \text{C}_0 + \text{S}_0}{11 \, \text{C}_0}$$

Solve for $C_0 \Rightarrow C_0 = 0.696 S_0 \Rightarrow Q = 0.696$

(b) General solution in part a: $Q = C_0/S_0 = 1/(11a - 10)$, where $a = 10^{\Delta E/0.05916}$

	Α	В	С
1	DeltaE (mV)	$a = 10^{(DeltaE/59.16)}$	Q = 1/(11a-10)
2	0	1.0000	1.0000
3	1	1.0397	0.6961
4	2	1.0810	0.5290
5	3	1.1239	0.4233
6	4	1.1685	0.3505
7	5	1.2148	0.2973

S15-18. Mean = 1.22_1 standard deviation = 0.05_0

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}} = 1.22_1 \pm \frac{(2.17)(0.05_0)}{\sqrt{14}} = 1.22_1 \pm 0.02_9 = 1.19_2 \text{ to } 1.25_0$$

(Student's *t* was interpolated in Table 4-2 for 13 degrees of freedom.)

The value 1.19 is just barely outside the 95% confidence interval. It is so close to the 95% boundary that I would not say it is outside of "experimental error."

S15-19.	[KF] (M)	Ionic strength (M)	Activity coefficient (γ_{F} -)	Activity (\mathcal{A}_{F})
	1.00 × 10 ⁻¹	0.100	0.76	0.076
	1.00×10^{-2}	0.0100	0.90	0.0090
	1.00×10^{-3}	0.001 00	0.964	0.000 964
	1.00×10^{-4}	10-4	close to 1	10-4
	1.00×10^{-5}	10-5	close to 1	10-5
	1.00×10^{-6}	10-6	close to 1	10-6
	1.00×10^{-7}	10-7	close to 1	10-7

The graph of E vs. log $\mathcal{A}_{\rm F}$ - is linear for the concentration range 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁵ with a slope of -57.5 mV. (The linear region extends almost to 10^{-6} M.) Assuming that the temperature was 25° C, the theoretical slope would be -59.16 mV, so the value of β is 57.5 / 59.16 = 0.972. If you chose to fit the data down to 10⁻⁶ M (which is not unreasonable), the slope is -56.0 mV and β is 0.947.

S15-20. (a) We want the fractional composition equations for ethylenediamine:

fraction in the form enH⁺ =
$$\alpha_{HA^-} = \frac{K_1[H^+]}{[H^+]^2 + [H^+]K_1 + K_1K_2}$$

fraction in the form enH²⁺₂ = $\alpha_{H_2A} = \frac{[H^+]^2}{[H^+]^2 + [H^+]K_1 + K_1K_2}$
fraction in the form en = $\alpha_{A^{2-}} = \frac{K_1K_2}{[H^+]^2 + [H^+]K_1 + K_1K_2}$

(b) There are 4 equilibrium constants. There is no charge balance because the pH was fixed. We can write two mass balances, one for Cu^{2+} and one for en: $Cu_{total} = 0.001 \ 00 \ M = [Cu^{2+}] + [Cu(en)^{2+}] + [Cu(en)^{2+}]$ $en_{total} = 0.0150 M = [en] + [enH^+] + [enH_2^{2+}] + [Cu(en)^{2+}] + 2[Cu(en)_2^{2+}]$

CHAPTER 16: SUPPLEMENTARY SOLUTIONS REDOX TITRATIONS

S16-1. (a)
$$2Cu^{2+} + ln^+ \rightarrow 2Cu^+ + ln^{3+}$$

(b) $Cu^{2+} + e^- \Rightarrow Cu^+ E^* = 0.161 V$
 $ln^{3+} + 2e^- \Rightarrow ln^+ E^* = -0.444 V$
(c) $E = \{0.161 - 0.059 16 \log \frac{[Cu^+]}{[Cu^{2+}]} \} - \{0.241\}$ (A)
 $E = \{-0.444 - \frac{0.059 16}{2} \log \frac{[ln^+]}{[ln^{3+}]} \} - \{0.241\}$ (B)
(d) $\underline{1.00 \text{ mL}}$: Use Equation B with $[ln^+]/[ln^{3+}] = 39.0/1.00$, since
 $V_e = 40.0 \text{ mL} \Rightarrow E = -0.732 V$
 $\underline{20.0 \text{ mL}}$: $[ln^+]/[ln^{3+}] = 20.0/20.0 \Rightarrow E = -0.685 V$
 $\underline{39.0 \text{ mL}}$: $[ln^+]/[ln^{3+}] = 1.0/39.0 \Rightarrow E = -0.638 V$
 $\underline{40.0 \text{ mL}}$: This is V_e , where $[Cu^+] = 2[ln^+]$ and $[Cu^{2+}] = 2[ln^+]$.
Adding the two Nernst equations gives
 $E_+ = 0.161 - 0.059 16 \log \frac{[Cu^+]}{[Ln^{3+}]}$
 $\underline{2E_+} = -0.888 - 0.059 16 \log \frac{[ln^+]2[ln^{3+}]}{[ln^{3+}]]Cu^{2+}]}$
 $3E_+ = -0.727 - 0.059 16 \log \frac{[ln^+]2[ln^{3+}]}{[ln^{3+}]2[ln^+]}$
 $\Rightarrow E_+ = -0.242 V$ and $E = -0.483 V$.
 $\underline{41.0 \text{ mL}}$: Use Equation A with $[Cu^+]/[Cu^2+] = 40.0/1.0 \Rightarrow E = -0.175 V$
 $\underline{80.0 \text{ mL}}$: $[Cu^+]/[Cu^{2+}] = 40.0/40.0 \Rightarrow E = -0.080 V$
S16-2. (a) Fe³⁺ + Cu⁺ → Fe²⁺ + Cu²⁺
(b) Fe³⁺ + e⁻ = Fe²⁺ $E^* = 0.771 V$
 $Cu^{2+} + e^- = Cu^+ E^* = 0.161 V$
(c) $E = \{0.771 - 0.059 16 \log \frac{[Fe^{2+}]}{[Fe^{3+}]} \} - \{0.197\}$ (A)

$$E = \left\{ 0.161 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Cu}^+]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]} \right\} - \left\{ 0.197 \right\}$$
(B)

(d) 1.0 mL: Use Equation A with
$$[Fe^{2+}]/[Fe^{3+}] = 1.0/24.0$$
, since
 $V_e = 25.0 \text{ mL} \Rightarrow E = 0.656 \text{ V}$
12.5 mL: $[Fe^{2+}]/[Fe^{3+}] = 12.5/12.5 \Rightarrow E = 0.574 \text{ V}$
24.5 mL: $[Fe^{2+}]/[Fe^{3+}] = 24.5/0.5 \Rightarrow E = 0.474 \text{ V}$
25.0 mL: This is V_e , where $[Cu^{2+}] = [Fe^{2+}]$ and $[Cu^{+}] = [Fe^{3}]$.
Adding the two Nernst equations gives

$$E_{+} = 0.771 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}]}$$

$$E_{+} = 0.161 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Cu}^{+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]}$$

$$2E_{+} = 0.932 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}][\text{Cu}^{+}]}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}][\text{Cu}^{2+}]}$$

$$2E_{+} = 0.932 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}][\text{Fe}^{3+}]}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}][\text{Fe}^{2+}]}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{+} = 0.466 \, \text{V} \text{ and } E = 0.269 \, \text{V}.$$

<u>25.5 mL</u>: Use Equation B with $[Cu^+]/[Cu^{2+}] = 0.5/25.0 \implies E = 0.065 V$ <u>30.0 mL</u>: $[Cu^+]/[Cu^{2+}] = 5.0/25.0 \implies E = 0.005 V$

S16-3. Titration reactions:

$$V^{2+} + Ce^{4+} \to V^{3+} + Ce^{3+}$$

$$V^{3+} + Ce^{4+} + H_2O \to VO^{2+} + Ce^{3+} + 2H^+$$

$$VO^{2+} + Ce^{4+} + H_2O \to VO^+_2 + Ce^{3+} + 2H^+$$

At 5.0 mL: E₊ = -0.255 - 0.059 16 log $\frac{[V^{2+}]}{[V^{3+}]}$ ⇒ E = -0.496 V

At 25.0 mL:
$$E_+ = 1.001 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[VO^{2+}]}{[VO_2^+](1.00)^2} \implies E = 0.760 \, \text{V}$$

At 35.0 mL:
$$E_+ = 1.70 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \frac{[\text{Ce}^{3+}]}{[\text{Ce}^{4+}]} = 1.70 - 0.059 \, 16 \log \left(\frac{30.0}{5.0}\right) \Rightarrow E = 1.41 \text{ V}$$



S16-4.
$$3MnO_4^- + 5Mo^{3+} + 4H^+ \rightarrow 3Mn^{2+} + 5MoO_2^{2+} + 2H_2O$$

(22.11 - 0.07) = 22.04 mL of 0.012 34 M KMnO₄ = 0.272 0 mmol of MnO₄
which will react with (5/3)(0.272 0) = 0.453 3 mmol of Mo³⁺.
[Mo³⁺] = 0.453 3 mmol/50.00 mL = 9.066 mM.

S16-5.
$$2\text{MnO}_4^- + 5\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4 + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Mn}^{2+} + 10\text{CO}_2 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

12.34 mL of 0.004 321 M KMnO₄ = 0.053 32 mmol of MnO₄⁻ which reacts with
(5/2)(0.053 32) = 0.133 3 mmol of H₂C₂O₄ which came from
(2/3)(0.133 3) = 0.088 87 mmol of La³⁺. [La³⁺] = 0.088 87 mmol/25.00 mL = 3.555 mM.

S16-6. C₃H₈O₃ $3H_2O$ \rightleftharpoons 3HCO₂H + $8H^+$ +8e⁻ + glycerol formic acid (average oxidation (oxidation number number of C = -2/3) of C = +2) $\frac{8Ce^{4+} + 8e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 8Ce^{3+}}{C_{3}H_{8}O_{3} + 8Ce^{4+} + 3H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons 3HCO_{2}H + 8Ce^{3+} + 8H^{+}}$ One mole of glycerol requires eight moles of Ce⁴⁺. $50.0 \text{ mL of } 0.0899 \text{ M Ce}^{4+} = 4.495 \text{ mmol}$ $10.05 \text{ mL of } 0.0437 \text{ M Fe}^{2+} = 0.439 \text{ mmol}$ Ce^{4+} reacting with glycerol = 4.056 mmol glycerol = (1/8) (4.056) = 0.507₀ mmol = 46.7 mg \Rightarrow original solution = 30.5 wt % glycerol

S16-7.
$$(\bigcirc -NO + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons (\bigcirc -NH_2 + H_2O)$$

 $(\bigcirc -NO_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightleftharpoons (\bigcirc -NH_2 + 2H_2O)$

One mole of nitrosobenzene reacts with 4 moles of Cr^{2+} and one mole of nitrobenzene reacts with 6 moles of Cr^{2+} . Let x = mg of C_6H_5NO and 24.43 - x = mg of $C_6H_5NO_2$.

We can write

х

$$\frac{4x}{107.112} + \frac{6(24.43 - x)}{123.111} = \text{mmol of } \text{Cr}^{2+} = (21.57)(0.050\,00)$$
$$= 9.84 \text{ mg} = 40.3\%$$

S16-8. $0.1392 \text{ g of KBrO}_3 = 0.8335 \text{ mmol of BrO}_3^- \text{ which generates } 3(0.8335) = 2.501 \text{ mmol of Br}_2 \text{ according to the reaction BrO}_3^- + 5Br^- + 6H^+ \rightarrow 3Br_2^- + 3H_2O$ One mole of excess Br_2 generates one mole of I₂ by the reaction Br_2^- + 2I^- $\rightarrow 2Br^- + I_2$ The I₂ requires 19.18 mL of $0.05000 \text{ M S}_2O_3^{2-} = 0.9590 \text{ mmol of S}_2O_3^{2-}$. Therefore, there must have been $(1/2)(0.9590) = 0.4795 \text{ mmol of I}_2 = 0.4795 \text{ mmol of excess Br}_2$. The Br_2 that reacted with acetoacetanilide must have been $2.501 - 0.4795 = 2.021 \text{ mmol of Br}_2$ reacts with one mole of acetoacetanilide there must have been $2.021 \text{ mmol of Be}_2^+ = 9.107 \text{ mg of Be}$.

S16-9. Denote the average oxidation number of Bi as 3+b and the average oxidation number of Cu as 2+c.

$$\operatorname{Bi}_{2}^{3+b} \operatorname{Sr}_{2}^{2+} \operatorname{Ca}_{0.8}^{2+} \operatorname{Y}_{0.2}^{3+} \operatorname{Cu}_{2}^{2+c} \operatorname{O}_{x}$$

Positive charge = 6 + 2b + 4 + 1.6 + 0.6 + 4 + 2c = 16.2 + 2b + 2cThe charge must be balanced by O²⁻ $\Rightarrow x = 8.1 + b + c$ The formula mass of the superconductor is 760.37 + 15.999 4(8.1+*b*+*c*). One gram contains 1/[770.14 + 15.999 4(8.1+*b*+*c*)] moles.

Experiment A: Initial $Cu^+ = 0.1000$ mmol; final $Cu^+ = 0.0522$ mmol. Therefore 110.6 mg of superconductor consumed 0.0478 mmol Cu^+ .

 $2 \times \text{mmol Bi}^{5+} + \text{mmol Cu}^{3+}$ in 110.6 mg superconductor = 0.0478.

<u>Experiment B</u>: Initial $Fe^{2+} = 0.0500 \text{ mmol}$; final $Fe^{2+} = 0.0213 \text{ mmol}$. Therefore 143.9 mg of superconductor consumed 0.0287 mmol Fe^{2+} .

 $2 \times \text{mmol Bi}^{5+}$ in 143.9 mg superconductor = 0.0287. Normalizing to 1 gram of superconductor gives Expt A: $2(\text{mmol Bi}^{5+}) + \text{mmol Cu}^{3+}$ in 1 g superconductor = 0.432 2 Expt B: $2(\text{mmol Bi}^{5+})$ in 1 g superconductor = 0.199 4

It is easier not to get lost in the arithmetic if we suppose that the oxidized bismuth is Bi^{4+} and equate one mole of Bi^{5+} to two moles of Bi^{4+} .

Therefore we can rewrite the two equations above as

mmol Bi⁴⁺ + mmol Cu³⁺ in 1 g superconductor = 0.4332 (1)

mmol Bi⁴⁺ in 1 g superconductor = 0.1994 (2)

Subtracting Equation 2 from Equation 1 gives

mmol Cu^{3+} in 1 g superconductor = 0.233 8 (3)

Equations 2 and 3 tell us that the stoichiometric relationship in the formula of the superconductor is b/c = 0.199 4/0.233 8 = 0.852 9.

Since 1 g of superconductor contains 0.7894 mmol Cu³⁺, we can say

$$\frac{\text{mol } \text{Cu}^{3+}}{\text{mol } \text{solid}} = 2c$$

$$\frac{\text{mol } \text{Cu}^{3+} / \text{mol } \text{solid}}{\text{gram } \text{solid} / \text{mol } \text{solid}} = \frac{2c}{760.37 + 15.999 \ 4(8.1 + b + c)}$$

$$\frac{\text{mol } \text{Cu}^{3+}}{\text{gram } \text{solid}} = \frac{2c}{770.14 + 15.999 \ 4(8.1 + b + c)} = 2.338 \times 10^{-4}$$
(4)

Substituting $b = 0.852 \, 9c$ in the denominator of Equation 4 allows us to solve for c:

$$\frac{2c}{770.14 + 15.9994(8.1 + 1.8529c)} = 2.338 \times 10^{-4} \implies c = 0.1055$$
$$\implies b = 0.8529c = 0.090_0$$

The average oxidation numbers are Bi^{+3.0900} and Cu^{+2.1055} and the formula of the compound is Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{0.8}Y_{0.2}Cu₂O_{8.2955}, since the oxygen stoichiometry derived at the beginning of the solution is x = 8.1 + b + c.

S16-10. Coefficients of the balanced equations are :

(a) $1, 1 \rightarrow 1$	(f) $1, 1 \to 1, 1, 1$
(b) $2, 1, 2 \rightarrow 1, 2, 5$	(g) $1, 1 \rightarrow 2, 1, 2$
(c) $1, 24, 24 \rightarrow 6, 6, 42, 48, 1$	(h) 1, 5, $6 \rightarrow 3$, 3
(d) 1, 1, 1 \rightarrow 1, 1	(i) $1, 2, 1 \rightarrow 1, 1, 1$
(e) $3, 1, 3 \rightarrow 1, 6, 6$	

One mole of Be^{3+} requires 228 moles of thiosulfate.

\$16-11. (a)
$$\begin{array}{c} CH=O \\ H-C-OH \\ HO-C-H \\ H-C-OH \end{array} \qquad 5HCO_2H \\ H-C-OH \\ H-C-OH \\ H-C-OH \\ H-C-OH \\ CH_2OH \end{array}$$

To balance this reaction we can write

S16-12. For the equilibrium between enzyme (E) and indicator (In) we can write

$$E(ox) + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons E(red) \qquad E^{\circ'}(enzyme)$$

$$2[In(red) \rightleftharpoons In(ox) + e^{-})] \qquad E^{\circ'} = -0.187$$

$$E(ox) + 2In(red) \rightleftharpoons E(red) + 2In(ox) \qquad E^{\circ'}_{3} = E^{\circ'}(enzyme) + 0.187$$

For the net reaction,

$$K = \frac{[\text{E(red)}][\text{In}(\text{ox})]^2}{[\text{E(ox)}][\text{In}(\text{red})]^2} = \frac{(1.80 \times 10^{-5})(3.9 \times 10^{-5})^2}{(4.2 \times 10^{-5})(5.5 \times 10^{-5})^2} = 0.21_5$$

$$E_3^{\circ'} = \frac{0.059\,16}{2} \log K = -0.019\,7\,\text{V}. \quad E^{\circ'}(\text{enzyme}) = E_3^{\circ'} - 0.187 = -0.207\,\text{V}.$$

S16-13. Titration reaction: $2MnO_4^- + 5H_2O_2 + 6H^+ \rightarrow 2Mn^{2+} + 5O_2 + 8H_2O_0.020 46 m KMnO_4 (FM 158.03) contains 0.020 46 mol KMnO_4 (= 3.233_3 g KMnO_4) per kg of H_2O.$

0.933 g of this titrant contains

$$(0.933 \text{ g solution}) \left(\frac{3.2333 \text{ g KMnO}_4}{1003.2 \text{ g solution}} \right) = 3.007 \text{ mg KMnO}_4 = 19.03 \text{ } \mu\text{mol KMnO}_4.$$

The concentration of excess, unreacted KMnO₄ in the pink solution at the end of the titration is given by Beer's law: [KMnO₄] = $A/(\epsilon l)$, where A is the absorbance (0.018), ϵ is the molar absorptivity (2.45 × 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and l is the cuvet pathlength (1.000 cm).

Using these numbers gives $[KMnO_4] = 7.35 \mu M.$

If the volume of titrated solution is 500.9 mL, the quantity of unreacted KMnO₄ is $(7.35 \ \mu\text{M})(0.5009 \text{ L}) = 3.68 \ \mu\text{mol}.$

The quantity of KMnO₄ consumed by H₂O₂ is therefore $(19.03 - 3.6_8) = 15.3_5 \mu mol$. From the stoichiometry of the titration reaction, the quantity of H₂O₂ in the unknown is $(5/2)(15.3_5 \mu mol) = 38.3_8 \mu mol$.

The concentration of unknown is $38.3_8 \,\mu\text{mol} / 0.5000 \,\text{L} = 76.8 \,\mu\text{M}$.

You could lower the detection limit by using a lower concentration of standard KMnO₄ and a cuvet with a longer pathlength. This allows less KMnO₄ to be delivered and less excess KMnO₄ to be detected. It might be necessary to withdraw aliquots of the titrated solution near the end point and measure the absorbance to find out when the end point has been reached. That is, the reagents could be so dilute that you will not see the color, even though the spectrophotometer will see absorbance with a sufficiently long pathlength cuvet.